

### **REMARKS**

This amendment is responsive to the Final Office Action dated January 5, 2007 and the Advisory Action dated March 20, 2007. In the Final Office Action, claims 16, 29, and 30 were allowed, claim 2 was objected to but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim, and claims 7-9, 11-15, 19-25, and 28 would be allowable if amended to overcome a rejection for indefiniteness and to include all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 1, 3-6, 10, 17, 18, 26, and 27 stood rejected as anticipated by West, and claims 4, 6-15, 19-25, and 28 stood rejected for indefiniteness.

Applicant filed an amendment after final examination ("AAF") on March 2, 2007, but the Office declined to enter this amendment by way of the Advisory Action dated March 20. However, the Advisory Action indicated that claims 2, 8, 9, 11-15, and 19-25 as submitted in the AAF would be allowable, and that claims 7 and 28 as submitted in the AAF would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, if a further amendment after final was submitted including these same amendments and canceling all non-allowable claims. The Advisory action also indicated that claims 16, 29, and 30 were allowable. The Advisory Action did not indicate that any of the previous indefiniteness rejections were maintained, and therefore Applicant believes that the indefiniteness rejections were successfully overcome by the amendments made in the AAF.

By way of the present amendment, claims 2, 3, 5, 8-15, 17-26, and 28 are amended, and claims 1, 6-7, and 27 are canceled. These amendments merely place the claims into a format previously indicated to be allowable. No new consideration is required and entry of this amendment is respectfully requested. Accordingly, claims 2-5, 8-26, and 28-30 are pending.

It is respectfully submitted that by way of this amendment, Applicant has canceled all non-allowable claims and amended all claims into allowable format. Allowance of all claims and issuance of a notice of allowance is respectfully requested.

Claims 2-4, 17, 18 and 26 are Allowable

The Advisory Action noted that claim 2, as submitted in the AAF, was allowable. Claim 2 is resubmitted herein essentially the same as previously examined in the AAF. The only distinction is that claim 2 now recites “a first media line” instead of “at least one media line”, “a first switch” instead of “a switch”, and “the first media line” instead of “the media line”. See lines 3 and 4 of claim 2. The amendments are for improved clarity and to provide antecedent basis for the dependent claims.

The amendments to claim 3 are the same as in the AAF, except that claim 3 now depends from claim 2. Claim 4 was not amended in the AAF, and is not amended herein. Claim 17 has been amended to depend from claim 2. The amendments to claim 18 are the same as in the AAF. Claim 26 has been amended to depend from claim 2 and be consistent with claim 2.

Claims 5 and 10 are Allowable

The Advisory Action indicated that claim 7 as submitted in the AAF would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 5 has been amended to include the subject matter of dependent claims 6 and 7, as all of claims 5, 6, and 7 were presented in the AAF. For improved clarity, the last two paragraphs of claim 5 now recite “the first media line” instead of “the air media

line”, which was previously recited in dependent claims 6 and 7 in the AAF. Accordingly, claim 5 is now allowable.

The amendments to claim 10 are the same as in the AAF, and claim 10 is allowable for at least the same reasons that claim 5 is allowable.

Claims 8, 9, and 11-15 are Allowable

The Advisory Action indicated that claims 8, 9, and 11-15 as submitted in the AAF are allowable. Claims 8 and 11-15 are resubmitted herein the same as in the AAF. Claim 9 is essentially the same as was submitted in the AAF, but now recites “the first media line” instead of “the air media line” for improved clarity. Accordingly, these claims are all allowable.

Claims 19-25 are Allowable

The Advisory Action indicated that claims 19-25 as submitted in the AAF are allowable. Claims 19-25 are resubmitted herein the same as in the AAF. Accordingly, these claims are all allowable.

Claim 28 is Allowable

The Advisory Action indicated that claim 28 as submitted in the AAF would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 28 has been amended to include the subject matter of claims 5 and 27, as all of claims 5, 27, and 28 were presented in the AAF. Accordingly, claim 28 is now allowable.

Claims 16, 29, and 30 are Allowable.


The Advisory Action and the Final Office Action both indicated that claims 16, 29, and 30 are allowable. These claims have not been amended and therefore remain allowable.

**CONCLUSION**

The applicant respectfully submits that the pending application is in condition for allowance. If, in the opinion of the examiner, a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of the subject application, the examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

April 5, 2007

Respectfully submitted,



Russell C. Petersen

Registration No.: 53,457  
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP  
233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6300  
Sears Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357  
(312) 474-6300  
Attorney for Applicant